

The Jordan normal base in lattices and nilpotent endomorphisms of finitely generated semisimple modules

Jenő Szigeti

ABSTRACT. We formulate a lattice theoretical Jordan normal form theorem for certain nilpotent lattice maps satisfying the so called JNB conditions. As an application of the general results, we obtain a transparent Jordan normal base of a nilpotent endomorphism in a finitely generated semisimple module.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\varphi : V \longrightarrow V$ be a nilpotent linear map of the finite dimensional vector space V over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$. Now $\varphi^k = 0 \neq \varphi^{k-1}$ for some integer $1 \leq k \leq n = \dim V$ and we have a Jordan normal ($\mathbb{C}$ -linear) base $\{v_i^{(t)} \mid 1 \leq t \leq r, 1 \leq i \leq k_t\}$ of V with respect to φ (see [3]). The following conditions hold:
 $k = \max\{k_t \mid 1 \leq t \leq r\}$, $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r = n$ and

$$\varphi(v_i^{(t)}) = v_{i-1}^{(t)}, \quad \varphi(v_1^{(t)}) = 0$$

for all $1 \leq t \leq r$ and $2 \leq i \leq k_t$.

The purpose of this paper is to show, how the above classical result is capable of broad generalization in the context of lattices. The present work is of the same flavour as the job we carried out in [2]. We consider a complete lattice L and a nilpotent $\vee$ -homomorphism $\lambda : L \longrightarrow L$. The Jordan normal base of L with respect to λ is defined in a natural way. Using the properties of the induced map $W \longmapsto \varphi(W)$ on the subspace lattice $\text{Sub}(V)$, we formulate the so called JNB conditions. The main result of the paper is a lattice theoretical Jordan normal form theorem (for nilpotent $\vee$ -homomorphisms satisfying the JNB conditions). Our proof is based on the use of the ideas presented in [4] and [5]. The application of the general lattice theoretical results gives a transparent Jordan normal base of a nilpotent endomorphism in a finitely generated semisimple module. It is a natural challenge to take one further step in the generalization process toward non-nilpotent maps.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06B23, 06C10, 15A21, 16D60.

Key words and phrases. complete lattice, complete join homomorphism, nilpotent lattice map, Jordan normal base, JNB conditions, semisimple module.

This work was supported by OTKA of Hungary No. T043034 and K61007.

2. THE JORDAN NORMAL BASE IN A LATTICE

Let $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ be a complete lattice with $\leq_L$ ($<_L$) being the induced (strict) partial order on L and consider a complete $\vee$ -homomorphism $\lambda : L \longrightarrow L$. The *image* and the *kernel* of λ can be defined as follows:

$$w = \text{im}(\lambda) = \lambda(1) \text{ and } z = \ker(\lambda) = \vee\{x \in L \mid \lambda(x) = 0\}.$$

Clearly, the $\vee$ -property of λ ensures that $\lambda(x) \leq_L \lambda(1) = w$ for all $x \in L$ and that $\lambda(x) = 0 \iff x \leq_L z$. If $\lambda^k = 0 \neq \lambda^{k-1}$ for some integer $1 \leq k$ then λ is called *nilpotent* of index k . If λ is nilpotent and $x \leq_L \lambda(x)$ for some $x \in L$, then we have

$$x \leq_L \lambda(x) \leq_L \lambda^2(x) \leq_L \dots \leq_L \lambda^k(x) = 0_L,$$

whence $x = 0$ (as well as $\lambda(0) = 0$) follows. A finite set $\{a_i^{(t)} \mid 1 \leq t \leq r, 1 \leq i \leq k_t\}$ of atoms in L is called a *Jordan normal base of L with respect to λ* , if we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^{(1)} &<_L a_1^{(1)} \vee a_1^{(2)} <_L \dots <_L a_1^{(1)} \vee a_1^{(2)} \vee \dots \vee a_1^{(r)} = c, \\ c \vee a_2^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_1}^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_2^{(t)} \vee \dots \vee a_{i-1}^{(t)} &<_L c \vee a_2^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_1}^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_2^{(t)} \vee \dots \vee a_{i-1}^{(t)} \vee a_i^{(t)}, \\ a_1^{(1)} \vee a_2^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_1}^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_1^{(r)} \vee a_2^{(r)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_r}^{(r)} &= 1_L \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lambda(a_i^{(t)}) = a_{i-1}^{(t)}, \quad \lambda(a_1^{(t)}) = 0$$

for all $1 \leq t \leq r$ and $2 \leq i \leq k_t$ ($a_2^{(t)} \vee \dots \vee a_{i-1}^{(t)}$ is the empty join for $i = 2$).

2.1.Proposition. *If we have a Jordan normal base in the complete lattice $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ with respect to the complete $\vee$ -homomorphism $\lambda : L \longrightarrow L$, then λ is nilpotent.*

Proof. Let $\{a_i^{(t)} \mid 1 \leq t \leq r, 1 \leq i \leq k_t\}$ be a Jordan normal base with respect to λ and take $k = \max\{k_t \mid 1 \leq t \leq r\}$. We claim, that $\lambda^k = 0 \neq \lambda^{k-1}$. First we prove, that $\lambda^k(a_i^{(t)}) = 0$ for all $1 \leq t \leq r, 1 \leq i \leq k_t$. Clearly, we have

$$\lambda^i(a_i^{(t)}) = \lambda^{i-1}(\lambda(a_i^{(t)})) = \lambda^{i-1}(a_{i-1}^{(t)}) = \dots = \lambda(a_1^{(t)}) = 0,$$

whence $\lambda^k(a_i^{(t)}) = \lambda^{k-i}(\lambda^i(a_i^{(t)})) = \lambda^{k-i}(0) = 0$ can be deduced (note that $\lambda(0) = 0$ is a consequence of $0 \leq_L a_1^{(1)}$). Since λ^k is also a complete $\vee$ -homomorphism, we have

$$\lambda^k(1) = \vee\{\lambda^k(a_i^{(t)}) \mid 1 \leq t \leq r, 1 \leq i \leq k_t\} = 0.$$

Thus $\lambda^k = 0$ and $\lambda^{k_s-1}(a_{k_s}^{(s)}) = a_1^{(s)} \neq 0$, where $k = k_s$. $\square$

The following JNB conditions play a fundamental role in our development, we formulate them for a pair (L, λ) , where $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ is a complete lattice and $\lambda : L \longrightarrow L$ is a complete $\vee$ -homomorphism.

JNB1: L is of finite height and every element of L is a finite join of atoms. The height of L is defined as

$$\text{height}(L) = \max\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid x_0 <_L x_1 <_L \dots <_L x_m \text{ for some } x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m \text{ in } L\}.$$

JNB2: For any choice of the elements $x \leq_L y$ with $\lambda(x) = \lambda(y)$ we can find an element $u \in L$ such that $y = x \vee u$ and $\lambda(u) = 0$.

JNB3: For any $x \in L$ the map $\lambda : [0, x] \longrightarrow [0, \lambda(x)]$ is surjective.

If $x \leq_L y$ in L , then JNB1 implies that $y = a_1 \vee \dots \vee a_m$ for some atoms $a_1, \dots, a_m$ in L . Now $y = x \vee a_1 \vee \dots \vee a_m$ and take $y = x \vee b_1 \vee \dots \vee b_s$, where $b_1, \dots, b_s$ are atoms in L with s being the smallest possible. Then

$$x <_L x \vee b_1 <_L \dots <_L x \vee b_1 \vee \dots \vee b_s = y$$

is strictly ascending and $b_1 \vee \dots \vee b_s$ is an irredundant join.

2.2.Lemma. *JNB1 and JNB3 imply that for any atom $a \in [0, \lambda(1)]$ there exists an atom $a^* \in L$ such that $a = \lambda(a^*)$.*

Proof. Since $\lambda : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \lambda(1)]$ is surjective, we can find an element $x \in L$ with $a = \lambda(x)$. Now we have $x = b_1 \vee b_2 \vee \dots \vee b_m$, where each $b_i \in L$ is an atom. Clearly, $b_i \leq_L x$ implies that $0 \leq_L \lambda(b_i) \leq_L \lambda(x) = a$. For an index i , we have either $\lambda(b_i) = 0$ or $\lambda(b_i) = a$. If $\lambda(b_i) = 0$ holds for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, then we obtain

$$a = \lambda(x) = \lambda(b_1 \vee b_2 \vee \dots \vee b_m) = \lambda(b_1) \vee \lambda(b_2) \vee \dots \vee \lambda(b_m) = 0,$$

a contradiction. Thus $\lambda(b_j) = a$ holds for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. $\square$

We are ready to formulate the following converse of Proposition 2.1.

2.3.Theorem. *Let $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ be a complete lattice and $\lambda : L \rightarrow L$ a complete $\vee$ -homomorphism satisfying the above JNB conditions. If λ is nilpotent, then there exists a Jordan normal base in L with respect to λ .*

Proof. We apply an induction on the height of L . If $\text{height}(L) = 1$, then $L = \{0, 1\}$ is a two element lattice and $\lambda(1) = 0$ ensures that $\{1\}$ is a one element Jordan normal base of L with respect to λ (note that $\lambda(1) = 1$ would contradict to the nilpotent property of λ). Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and assume that our theorem holds for all lattices of height less or equal than $n - 1$. Now consider the situation described in the theorem with $\text{height}(L) = n$. Clearly, the interval $L^* = [0, w]$ (with $w = \lambda(1)$) is a complete sublattice of L and the restriction $\lambda^* = \lambda|_{[0, w]}$ of λ is a complete $\vee$ -homomorphism: $\lambda^* : L^* \rightarrow L^*$. It is straightforward to verify that the pair (L^*, λ^*) satisfies all of the JNB conditions. In view of $\lambda(1) \neq 1$, we have $\text{height}(L^*) \leq n - 1$. Thus we have a Jordan normal base $\{a_i^{(t)} \mid 1 \leq t \leq r, 1 \leq i \leq k_t\}$ in L^* with respect to λ^* . Since $L^* = [0, \lambda(1)]$, Lemma 2.2 ensures that we can find atoms $a_{k_t+1}^{(t)} \in L$, such that $\lambda(a_{k_t+1}^{(t)}) = a_{k_t}^{(t)}$ holds for each $1 \leq t \leq r$. Take $c = a_1^{(1)} \vee a_1^{(2)} \vee \dots \vee a_1^{(r)}$, then

$$a_1^{(1)} <_L a_1^{(1)} \vee a_1^{(2)} <_L \dots <_L a_1^{(1)} \vee a_1^{(2)} \vee \dots \vee a_1^{(r)} = c$$

is a strictly ascending chain and

$$\lambda(c) = \lambda(a_1^{(1)} \vee a_1^{(2)} \vee \dots \vee a_1^{(r)}) = \lambda(a_1^{(1)}) \vee \lambda(a_1^{(2)}) \vee \dots \vee \lambda(a_1^{(r)}) = 0,$$

whence $c \leq_L z = \ker(\lambda)$ follows. Using JNB1, we can exhibit a sequence $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s$ of atoms in L such that

$$c <_L c \vee b_1 <_L c \vee b_1 \vee b_2 <_L \dots <_L c \vee b_1 \vee b_2 \vee \dots \vee b_s = z$$

is a strictly ascending chain.

We claim, that $\{a_i^{(t)} \mid 1 \leq t \leq r, 1 \leq i \leq k_t + 1\} \cup \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s\}$ is a Jordan normal base in L with respect to λ . Now we have

$$z = a_1^{(1)} \vee a_1^{(2)} \vee \dots \vee a_1^{(r)} \vee b_1 \vee b_2 \vee \dots \vee b_s,$$

$b_i \leq_L z$, $1 \leq i \leq s$ implies that $\lambda(b_1) = \lambda(b_2) = \dots = \lambda(b_s) = 0$ and

$$z \vee a_2^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_1+1}^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_2^{(t)} \vee \dots \vee a_{i-1}^{(t)} <_L z \vee a_2^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_1+1}^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_2^{(t)} \vee \dots \vee a_{i-1}^{(t)} \vee a_i^{(t)}$$

holds for $1 \leq t \leq r$ and $2 \leq i \leq k_t + 1$. Indeed,

$$z \vee a_2^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_1+1}^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_2^{(t)} \vee \dots \vee a_{i-1}^{(t)} = z \vee a_2^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_1+1}^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_2^{(t)} \vee \dots \vee a_{i-1}^{(t)} \vee a_i^{(t)},$$

would imply that

$$a_i^{(t)} \leq_L z \vee a_2^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_1+1}^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_2^{(t)} \vee \dots \vee a_{i-1}^{(t)},$$

whence first

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i-1}^{(t)} = \lambda(a_i^{(t)}) &\leq_L \lambda(z) \vee \lambda(a_2^{(1)}) \vee \dots \vee \lambda(a_{k_1+1}^{(1)}) \vee \dots \vee \lambda(a_2^{(t)}) \vee \dots \vee \lambda(a_{i-1}^{(t)}) = \\ &= a_1^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_1}^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_1^{(t)} \vee \dots \vee a_{i-2}^{(t)} \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$c \vee a_1^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_1}^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_1^{(t)} \vee \dots \vee a_{i-2}^{(t)} = c \vee a_1^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_1}^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_1^{(t)} \vee \dots \vee a_{i-2}^{(t)} \vee a_{i-1}^{(t)}$$

can be derived, in contradiction with one of the properties of the Jordan normal base $\{a_i^{(t)} \mid 1 \leq t \leq r, 1 \leq i \leq k_t\}$.

The use of JNB2 and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(a_2^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_1+1}^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_2^{(r)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_r+1}^{(r)}) &= \\ = \lambda(a_2^{(1)}) \vee \dots \vee \lambda(a_{k_1+1}^{(1)}) \vee \dots \vee \lambda(a_2^{(r)}) \vee \dots \vee \lambda(a_{k_r+1}^{(r)}) &= \\ = a_1^{(1)} \vee a_2^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_1}^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_1^{(r)} \vee a_2^{(r)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_r}^{(r)} = \lambda(1_L) \end{aligned}$$

gives the existence of an element $u \in L$ such that

$$(a_2^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_1+1}^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_2^{(r)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_r+1}^{(r)}) \vee u = 1_L$$

and $\lambda(u) = 0$. Since $u \leq_L z$, we have

$$z \vee a_2^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_1+1}^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee a_2^{(r)} \vee \dots \vee a_{k_r+1}^{(r)} = 1_L$$

proving that $\{a_i^{(t)} \mid 1 \leq t \leq r, 1 \leq i \leq k_t + 1\} \cup \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s\}$ is a Jordan normal base in L with respect to λ . $\square$

The following is a trivial statement about the join of atoms.

2.4.Proposition. *Let $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0)$ be a lattice with the following properties.*

(i) *The cover relation $x \triangleleft x \vee a$ holds for all $x \in L$ and for all atoms $a \in L$ with $x \neq x \vee a$ (atomic cover property, it is satisfied in upper semimodular lattices).*

(ii) *Any two composition series of the form $0 = x_0 \triangleleft x_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft x_n$ and*

$0 = y_0 \triangleleft y_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft y_m$ with $x_n = y_m$ are of the same length: $n = m$.

If $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in L$ are atoms such that

$$a_{\pi(1)} <_L a_{\pi(1)} \vee a_{\pi(2)} <_L \dots <_L a_{\pi(1)} \vee a_{\pi(2)} \vee \dots \vee a_{\pi(n)}$$

is a strictly ascending chain for some permutation π of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then

$a_1 \vee a_2 \vee \dots \vee a_n$ is an irredundant join (or equivalently

$$a_1 \vee a_2 \vee \dots \vee a_n = a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n$$

is a direct sum).

3. NILPOTENT ENDOMORPHISMS OF FINITELY GENERATED SEMISIMPLE MODULES

Let R be a ring and $\varphi : M \longrightarrow M$ an R -endomorphism of the unitary left R -module ${}_R M$. For a submodule $N \leq M$ let $\varphi(N) = \{\varphi(x) \mid x \in N\}$ denote the φ -image of N . Clearly, $\varphi(N) \leq M$ is an R -submodule and for any family N_γ , $\gamma \in \Gamma$ of R -submodules in M we have

$$\varphi \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} N_\gamma \right) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi(N_\gamma).$$

If $X, Y \leq M$ are R -submodules with $X \subseteq Y$ and $\varphi(X) = \varphi(Y)$, then

$$X + (Y \cap \ker(\varphi)) = Y.$$

Take $y \in Y$, then $\varphi(y) = \varphi(x)$ for some $x \in X$ and $y = x + (y - x)$ with $\varphi(y - x) = \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) = 0$. Thus $y - x \in Y \cap \ker(\varphi)$ and our claim is proved. We note that $\varphi(Y \cap \ker(\varphi)) = \{0\}$ holds for the R -submodule $Y \cap \ker(\varphi) \leq M$.

If $X \leq M$ is an R -submodule with $X \subseteq \varphi(N)$, then consider

$$\varphi^{-1}(X) = \{u \in M \mid \varphi(u) \in X\}$$

an R -submodule of M and take $Z = N \cap \varphi^{-1}(X) \subseteq N$. For an element $x \in X$, the containment $X \subseteq \varphi(N)$ ensures that we can find an element $z \in N$ such that $x = \varphi(z)$. Now $x \in X$ implies that $z \in N \cap \varphi^{-1}(X)$, whence $\varphi(Z) = X$ follows.

In view of the above observations, we have JNB2 and JNB3 for the pair (L, λ) , where

$$L = \text{Sub}({}_R M)$$

is the complete lattice of the R -submodules of M with respect to the containment relation $\subseteq$ and

$$\lambda(N) = \varphi(N)$$

is an $L \longrightarrow L$ complete $\vee$ -homomorphism (we note that $\bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} N_\gamma = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} N_\gamma$).

The following conditions are equivalent for the modular lattice $L = \text{Sub}({}_R M)$:

- (1) $L = \text{Sub}({}_R M)$ satisfies JNB1.
- (2) ${}_R M$ is a semisimple left R -module of finite height.
- (3) ${}_R M$ is a finitely generated semisimple left R -module.

Now we are ready to present the Jordan normal base theorem for nilpotent R -module endomorphisms.

3.1.Theorem. *Let $\varphi : M \longrightarrow M$ be a nilpotent R -endomorphism of the finitely generated semisimple left R -module ${}_R M$. Then there exists a subset*

$\{x_i^{(t)} \mid 1 \leq t \leq r, 1 \leq i \leq k_t\}$ in M such that each R -submodule $Rx_i^{(t)} \leq M$ is simple,

$$\bigoplus_{1 \leq t \leq r, 1 \leq i \leq k_t} Rx_i^{(t)} = M$$

is a direct sum and $\varphi(x_i^{(t)}) = x_{i-1}^{(t)}$, $\varphi(x_1^{(t)}) = 0$ for all $1 \leq t \leq r$ and $2 \leq i \leq k_t$.

Proof. Consider the pair (L, λ) , where $L = \text{Sub}({}_R M)$ and $\lambda(N) = \varphi(N)$ for an R -submodule $N \leq M$ of M . As we have already seen, (L, λ) satisfies the conditions JNB1, JNB2 and JNB3. We note that any atomistic (upper semi-) modular lattice

of finite height (such as L) satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) of Proposition 2.4. Since $\varphi^k = 0 \neq \varphi^{k-1}$ implies that $\lambda^k = 0 \neq \lambda^{k-1}$, the complete $\vee$ -homomorphism λ is nilpotent. Thus we can apply Theorem 2.3 to obtain a Jordan normal base $\{V_i^{(t)} \mid 1 \leq t \leq r, 1 \leq i \leq k_t\}$ of L with respect to λ . Clearly, each $V_i^{(t)} \leq M$ is a simple R -submodule of M and Proposition 2.4 guarantees that

$$\bigoplus_{1 \leq t \leq r, 1 \leq i \leq k_t} V_i^{(t)} = M$$

is a direct sum. Since

$$\varphi(V_i^{(t)}) = V_{i-1}^{(t)}, \quad \varphi(V_1^{(t)}) = 0$$

for all $1 \leq t \leq r$ and $2 \leq i \leq k_t$, starting with an arbitrary $0 \neq x_1^{(t)} \in V_1^{(t)}$ element, we can take the consecutive φ -preimages to obtain a sequence $x_i^{(t)} \in V_i^{(t)}$, $1 \leq i \leq k_t$ such that

$$\varphi(x_i^{(t)}) = x_{i-1}^{(t)} \quad (\text{and } \varphi(x_1^{(t)}) = 0)$$

for all $1 \leq t \leq r$ and $2 \leq i \leq k_t$. To conclude the proof, it is enough to note that $x_i^{(t)} \neq 0$ in the simple R -module $V_i^{(t)}$, whence $Rx_i^{(t)} = V_i^{(t)}$ follows. $\square$

REFERENCES

- (1) Anderson, F.W. and Fuller, K.R.: *Rings and Categories of Modules*, GTM Vol. 13, Springer Verlag, New York, 1974.
- (2) Körtesi, P. and Szigeti, J.: *A general approach to the Fitting lemma*, Mathematika (London) Vol. 52 (2005), 155-160.
- (3) Prasolov, V.V.: *Problems and Theorems in Linear Algebra*, Vol. 134 of Translation of Mathematical Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1994.
- (4) Valiaho, H.: *An elementary approach to the Jordan normal form of a matrix*, Amer. Math. Monthly Vol. 93 (1986), 711-714.
- (5) Wildon, M.: *Jordan normal form and the structure theorem for abelian groups*, (manuscript, January 2005).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISKOLC, MISKOLC, HUNGARY 3515
E-mail address: jeno.szigeti@uni-miskolc.hu